Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/635,817	HALL ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Jordan M. Schwartz	2873
All Participants:	Status of Application:	
(1) <u>Jordan M. Schwartz</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Jian S. Zhou</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 28 November 2005	Time:	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Appl  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	icant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed:		
1, 19, 35		
Prior art documents discussed: 6,467,903		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GEN See Continuation Sheet	IERAL NATURE OF WHAT WA	S DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
<ul> <li>☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. To the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summ</li> </ul>	The examiner will provide a writt e record of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
	•	
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applica	int/Applicant's Representative S	ignature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: It is the examiner's opinion that the Back reference patent number 6,467,903 would have made obvious a number of the claims and therefore the change to claims 1, 19, and 35, as set forth in the examiner's amendment, was discussed and agreed upon to further distinguish over the Back reference and place the application in condition for allowance.